
Interview Summary

Application No. **09/574,519**

Applicant(s)

Henderson et al

Examiner

Jeffrey Fredman

Group Art Unit 1655



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Jeffrey Fredman	(3) Eric Henderson, App.
(2) Scott Marks, App. Rep.	(4)
Date of Interview Jun 1, 2001	_
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \square Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: all claims	
Identification of prior art discussed: Peeters, Smith	
any other comments:	I nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
subsequent antibody (column 10), Peeters also teaches or	·
examiner then discussed a variety of other possible struction	ural limitations which might overcome the cited prior art.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	trate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1655